

STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

P.O. Box 47600 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7600 • (206) 407-6000 • TDD Only (Hearing Impaired) (206) 407-6006

April 6, 1994

Mr. Dean Fowler, Project Manager Spokane County Utilities Department North 811 Jefferson Street Spokane, WA 99201

Dear Mr. Fowler:

RE: Interim Discharge Requirements to the Little Spokane River

KIAGI

As discussed in the public meeting in Spokane on March 3, 1994, the Department of Ecology is committed to working with Spokane County in establishing interim discharge requirements during the start-up phase of the groundwater treatment system.

The interim testing requirements are intended to eliminate any redundant tests or tests that can be proved during this period to be unnecessary, and to consequently, reduce the remediation cost to Spokane County. The final substantive requirements for discharge to the Little Spokane River may include only contaminants of concern and any additional parameters the Department of Ecology has concluded are needed, as a result of this interim testing period.

This letter is intended to acknowledge Spokane County's request to discharge treated groundwater to the Little Spokane River, as indicated in the Record of Decision dated September, 1987, and subject to the interim discharge requirements (see attachment).

Interim discharge of treated groundwater from Colbert Landfill is limited to 90 days, beginning on the start-up date of April 11, 1994. Attachment "B" outlines the schedule for issuance of final substantive requirements for long-term discharge to the Little Spokane River, as discussed at the March 3 public meeting (revised) and as agreed to by Spokane County.

If you have any questions regarding this issue, please call me at (206) 407-7181.

///. TX

Ali M. Raad, Project Engineer Toxics Cleanup Program RECEIVED

AMR: 1n Enclosures APR 2 2 1994

SUPERFUND REMEDIAL BRANCH

cc: Mike Kuntz

Ken Murrall, ERO



INTERIM DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS

State of Washington
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
Toxics Cleanup Program
Site Cleanup Section
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, Washington 98504-7600

Facility Location:

Spokane County Colbert Landfill

Discharge Type:

Remediation of Contaminated

Groundwater

Discharge Location:

Township - 27 North

Range - 43 East, W.M.

Section - 3, Northwestern Quadrant

Receiving Water:

Little Spokane River

Waterway Segment Number:

245501

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

In September 1987, a Record of Decision (ROD) for interim and final remedial action at the Spokane County Colbert Landfill Superfund Site was signed by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Washington State Department of Ecology. The Colbert Landfill ROD was developed in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA). On March 9, 1989, Spokane County agreed to the requested remedial action by signing a Consent Decree.

The remedial action includes the management of the migration of contaminants present in the groundwater due to the Colbert Landfill. Contaminated groundwater will be extracted and treated for volatile organic compounds by air stripping. Treated groundwater is to be discharged to the Little Spokane River. Pursuant to Section 121(e) of CERCLA, a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit is not required for remedial activities conducted entirely on-site. However, all substantive requirements for an NPDES permit must be met.

In compliance with the provisions of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the State of Washington Water Pollution Control Law, Chapter 90.48 RCW, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Program, Chapter 173-220 WAC, and the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington, Chapter 173-201A WAC, this document establishes interim requirements for discharge of treated groundwater to the the Little Spokane River during the first 90 days of full scale operation, beginning April 11, 1994.

FACT SHEET

This fact sheet explains the interim Substantive Requirements for the Colbert Landfill. The intent of this testing requirements for this short period of time (90 days) is to eliminate any redundant test or tests that can be proved to be unecessary, and to consequently, reduce the remediation cost on Spokane County. This fact sheet also explains the nature of the proposed discharge, the limits placed on the contaminants in treated groundwater, and the regulatory and technical basis for those limits. The final limits of discharge will be based on the efficiency of the treatment system, within the performance and evaluation criteria of the consent decree.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Colbert Landfill Site Pacility Location:

Colbert, Spokane County, Washington

Treated groundwater Discharge Type:

Discharge Location: Township - 27 North

Range - 43 East, W.M.

Section - 3 Northwestern Quadrant

Receiving Water:

The receiving water is the Little Spokane River. Little Spokane River is considered a Class A surface water. The applicable receiving water quality standards are those adopted by the Washington State Department of Ecology and approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Regional Administrator pursuant to Section 303 of the Clean Water Act. Applicable standards are contained in Chapter 173-201A WAC.

Facility Background and Description of Discharge:

The Colbert Landfill is a Spokane County owned sanitary landfill that was operated from the year 1968 through 1986. This landfill is located in Spokane County, approximately 15 miles northnortheast of Spokane, Washington, and covers 40 acres. During the five years from 1975 to 1980, a local electronics manufacturing company, KeyTronic Corporation, used the Colbert

Landfill to dispose of spent organic solvents, mainly methylene chloride and 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA), at an average rate of several hundred gallons a month. During the same period, a nearby military facility, Fairchild Air Force Base, also disposed of various solvent wastes at the site. A variety of other chemicals, such as pesticides and refinery tar residues, were also disposed of at the site.

A civil suit was instituted pursuant to Section 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986. In addition, this action is brought by the State of Washington, pursuant to Chapter 70.105b, Revised Code of Washington (RCW) and Chapter 90.48 RCW.

Nature and extent of the problem:

Six volatile organic chemicals, all chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons, were the main contaminants detected in the groundwater at the Colbert Landfill Site. These organic chemicals are: methylene chloride, 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA), 1,1 dichloroethylene (DCE), 1,1 dichloroethane (DCA) trichloroethylene (TCE), and tetrachloroethylene (PCE).

The effluent limits for these chemicals were based on the remediation goals established in the Record of Decision and best professional judgement. Effluent limits for the groundwater treatment system are based upon health protection levels and shall not be exceeded during the operational life of remedial action systems. In addition, permanent attainment of these levels in the groundwater throughout the site will indicate completion of the remedial action. These limits are not expected to impact the biological setting in the Spokane River, but rather enhance the flow in that segment of the river.

One of the requirements of the consent decree is the design and implementation of extractions wells and treatment systems for groundwater prior to discharge to the Little Spokane River.

The groundwater extraction system consists of two sets of extraction wells, which is designed to control the movement and migration of contaminants. Each set of extraction wells consist of at least eleven wells.

The groundwater treatment system is comprised of air stripping of organic solvents, mainly methylene chloride and 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA), 1,1 dichloroethylene (DCE), 1,1 dichloroethene (DCA), trichloroethylene (TCE), and tetrachloroethylene (PCE).

INTERIM SUBSTANTIVE REQUIREMENTS

S1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

A. These interim requirements shall be in effect beginning on April 11, 1994 until July 11, 1994. The discharge of treated groundwater at the designated outfall at the Little Spokane River is subject to meeting the following limitations:

OUTFALL No. 1 EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Parameter	Daily Average	Daily Maximum
Flow	continuous	
Chloride	230 mg/L	
Dichloroethene;1,1-		4050 ug/L
Dichloroethylene;1,1-		7 ug/L
Iron	300 ug/L	
Manganese	50 ug/L	
Methylene Chloride		2.5-25* ug/L
Nitrates	10 mg/L	
рН		8.5
Total Phosphorus		930 ug/L
Tetrachloroethylene		0.7-7* ug/L
Trichloroethane; 1,1,1-		200 ug/L
Trichloroethylene		5 ug/L

^{*} The daily maximum will be determined as a result of the removal efficiency of the system. These figures are part of the evaluation and performance criteria in the Consent Decree.

The daily average is defined as the average of the measured values obtained over a calendar month's time. The daily maximum is defined as the greatest allowable value for any calendar day.

S2. TESTING SCHEDULE

The Discharger shall monitor the influent and effluent of treated groundwater according to the following schedule:

Tests	Sample Point	Sampling Frequency	Sample Type
Priority Pollutant Metals (total). semi volatile organic compounds pesticides, PCBs**	Outfall, or designated point in treatment facility	Within 10 days of full start-up and monthly thereafter during this interim period.	Composite
Nitrate/Nitrite/ Ammonia/Total Kjeldah Nitrate(++)	Outfall	Monthly	Composite (+)
Algal growth(++)	Upstream of outfall, 300 feet down-stream of outfall.	Within 10 days of full start- up and monthly thereafter.	Grab
Volatile Organics Compound**	Outfall or designated point in the treatment facility.	Monthly	Composite
Electrical Conductivity(++)	Outfall or designated point in the treatment facility outfall.	Daily	Composite
Turbidity (++)	Outfall	Daily during this interim period.	Grab

See Attachment A for listing of elements and testing methods.

^{(+) 24-}hour composite (see S4. B(2)). (++) Monitor effluent only.

S3. CHRONIC BIOMONITORING

A. Chronic Biomonitoring (Effluent)

The Discharger shall complete two chronic fish bioassays tests from the outfall, one within 10 days, another with 40 days of full start-up of the treatment facility for the purpose of characterizing the effluent. Toxicity testing shall be conducted in accordance with protocols, monitoring requirements, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures specified in this section. The testing shall be conducted so as to determine the IC25 (concentration providing a 25% inhibition of growth or reproduction in the test organisms), and a chronic NOEC. These test values are not effluent limits.

Testing shall be conducted on the following organisms:

Freshwater Chronic Toxicity Test Species Methods
Fathead minnow: Pimephales promelas EPA/600/4-89/001

Chronic Phytotoxicity Test Species Method
Alga: Selenastrum capricornutum EPA/600/4-89/001

Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, EPA/600/4-89/001.

Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11, Water and Environmental Technology, Volume 11.04 Biological Effects and Environmental Fate.

Ecology will accept whole effluent chronic bioassay data produced in the last two years as fulfillment of this section if it meets the information and quality control requirements of this section.

The testing shall begin within ten days after the issue date of this Interim Discharge Requirement. A written report of the toxicity test results shall be submitted to Ecology within this interim interval. This final report shall list the IC25 and NOEC data for all species and tests, and detail any information on the results of any source control or treatability efforts during the year.

> Ecology may issue an order or modify the Substantive Requirements based on the information provided in the final report. However, Ecology may specify a more sensitive species for use in routine monitoring if any single species is clearly the most sensitive species. A minimum of three replicates and a control shall be If the test cannot statistically detect a 30% difference in toxic effect between the effluent dilution and the control, then the number of replicates must be increased in future tests until a 30% or less difference in toxic effect becomes statistically significant. The mean of these replicates will be compared to the control mean using the method in Appendix H of Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms (EPA/600/4-89/001) at the 0.05 level of significance. These test values are not effluent limits.

B. Monitoring Requirements

- 1. Testing shall be conducted on 24-hour composite samples of the effluent except when Ecology or the Discharger, with Ecology concurrence, determines that grab samples better represent toxicity. Water from the same source (natural or synthetic) as the water used for culturing the test organisms should be used as dilution water. Samples taken for toxicity testing shall be cooled to four degrees Celsius and sent to the lab immediately. The lab should begin the toxicity testing as soon as possible, but no later than 36 hours after the time that sampling was begun.
- All tests shall measure the response of the organisms in 0 percent (control) and a sufficient number of effluent dilutions to accurately determine an IC25 and an NOEC.
- 3. Each written report shall include all relevant information outlined in Section 9, Report Preparation, of Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, EPA/600/4-89/001, March 1989.

c. Protocols

The toxicity tests shall be conducted in accordance with the following protocols or approved modifications thereof:

Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, EPA/600/4-89/001.

Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11, Water and Environmental Technology, Volume 11.04 Biological Effects and Environmental Fate.

D. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures

The Discharger shall follow the quality assurance procedures discussed in the protocols cited in this section, or approved modifications thereof. Test results which are not considered valid (i.e., excessive control mortality, or inadequate control growth or reproduction) will not be accepted by Ecology and the test(s) shall be repeated.

S4. CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF INFLUENT AND EFFLUENT

A. Chemical Analysis of Influent and Effluent

The Discharger shall conduct chemical analyses of influent and effluent samples collected from the groundwater treatment system in accordance with protocols, monitoring requirements, and QA/QC procedures specified in this section.

Influent and effluent samples shall be analyzed according to S2 requirements.

Influent and effluent sampling shall be timed to coincide with one sampling in the chronic (effluent) biomonitoring studies.

B. Monitoring Requirements

- Influent and effluent samples shall be analyzed according to S2 requirements.
- The following samples shall be collected for analyses: 1) two samples of influent to groundwater treatment plant, with sampling times

at least one week apart; and 2) two samples of effluent from groundwater treatment plant, collected at such times that results, in conjunction with influent analyses results, may be used to estimate constituent removal efficiencies across the treatment system.

 Each sample of the influent and effluent shall be representative composites consisting of continuous sampling or six grab samples equally spaced over a 24-hour period.

c. <u>Protocols</u>

Sample analysis shall be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136.

D. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures

The Discharger shall follow the quality assurance procedures 40 CFR Part 136.

S.5 ACUTE FISH BIOASSAY:

Discharger shall be required to complete an Acute Fish Bioassay only in the event that the results of the Chronic Fish Bioassay indicate significant biological impacts.

S6. SPECIAL STUDIES:

Algal Growth Potential Study: The Discharger shall collect water samples from the Little Spokane River for the first year on a monthly basis from June 15 to September 15 and perform an algal growth potential study using the algae Selenastrum capricornutum. Water for the algal growth potential studies shall be collected upstream of the outfall of the treated groundwater and at a downstream location equivalent to the edge of the mixing zone. If no significant stimulation of algal growth potential is observed, then the algal growth potential studies shall be suspended. Studies shall be resumed only if there is a significant increase in the nitrogen or phosphorus concentrations in the treated groundwater.

S7. EXPIRATION OF THE INTERIM DISCHARGE:

This interim discharge to the Little Spokane River is a temporary measure for the groundwater treatment system to run on a full scale operation. The expiration date for this interim discharge is 90 days from the start-up date of the initial discharge. A final substantial requirements will be issued at the end of the 90 day period.

Estimated Acid Batch

Attachment A BACKGROUND WATER QUALITY DATA AND ESTIMATED EFFLUENT QUALITY COLBERT LANDFILL RD/RA PROJECT (Concentrations in upd.-except when indicated otherwise)

	Anakitosi					CD46		CD46-DUP		CD30A		Little Spokene River		Cleaning Solution Concentration		Estimated Estuard nountration (a)		Mass Loading (b) (b)day)
divort	Analytical Method	CD21C1		CD47		CD45	_	00-000					_					
ALB (Total, in mg/l)		0.05		0.05	.1	0.05	u	0.05	U	0.05		0.05		76 3		0.055 . 0.05 L	4	NC NC,
drum .	EPA 6010	0.05 (0.05 (ט ט	0.05		0.05		0.05	U	0.05			Ü	11	•	0.006		NC
natly I	EPA 6010	0.006	_		Ū	0.005	U	0.005	U	0.005		0.000	Ü	NC		0.005 \	j	NC
nic ·	EPA 7060		Ŭ	0.005	_	0.005	U	0.005			U	0.000	ñ	NC		0.006	j	NC
nic (pent) •	EPA 7060		ŭ		ŭ	0.005	U	0.005	U	0,000	U	0.005	U	36		0.18		3.4
nic (Irl)	EPA 7080	0.271	U	0.079	_	0.292		0.297		0.114		0.052			<	0.005	J.	NC
<i>y</i> n `	EPA 6010	0.006		0.005	u	0.005	U	0.005		-,	U	0.005	Ü		-		Ū	NC
Charles .	EPA 6010		ŭ		ŭ	0.003	U	0.003	U	0.000	U	0.003	U	42000	•	115	_	2200
mium .	EPA 6010	172	·	60.6	•	140		143		104		30.3 0.01		NC			U	NC
sharin -	EPA 6010	0.01	4.5	0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01		0.01		0.005		**	<	0.005	Ū	NC
ornium (hex) :	EPA 7195/8010		ŭ	0.005		0.005	U	0.005		0.005	Ų	0.005	ŭ	i	•	0.01	U	NC
amben (total)	EPA 6010		ŭ	0.01		0.01	U	0.01	U	0.01	Ü	0.09	U	192		0.046		0.00
per-	EPA 6010	0.033	•	0.02		0.065		0.068		0.02	U	0.002	U		<		U	NC
•	3PA 6010 EPA 7421		u		Ü	0.000	U	0.002	U	0.002	U	7.37	9	294	_	34		660
d·	EPA 6010	60.3	•	19.5		48.6		49.5		22.5 0.005	u	0.016		6		0.025		0.49
ynastuffi .	EPA 6010	0.014		0.005	U	0.077		0.078		0.0005	_	0.0005		_	<	0.0008		NC
190 0000	EPA 7470	0.0005	U	0.0005		0.0006		0.0005		0.003	_	0.02			⋖	V	U	NC NC 70 NC 220 NC
outy.	EPA 6010	0.02	U	0.02	U	0.0-	U	0.02	U	3.3	•		ŭ		<	3.6		70
Nel .	3PA 6010	4.9		2.9		3.8		4.1 0.005		0.005		0.005			<	0.006		NC
estyles .	EPA 7740	0.006	U	0.005	U	0.005		2.6		9.64	•	8.34		10	<	11.3		220
enlutti	EPA 6010	12.9		11.6		12.3		0.01		0.01	u	0.01		, 1	<	0.01	U	NC
00 0	EPA 6010	0.01		0.01		0.01				0.006		0.006	i) 11	•	0.006		NC 0.86
er Lina	EPA 7841	0.005		0.006		0.005 0.01				0.01		0.01	•	306		0.03		U.50
o .	EPA 60 10	0.01	U	0.01	·	0.01	U	0.0	•	0.0	_							
TALE (Obserbed, in mod)				0.05		0.05		0.055		0.05	U	N		76		0.056		NG NC NC NC NC NC NC NC NC
eminum ,	EPA 6 010	0.056		0.05		0.05				0.05	U	N		3		0.050 0.006		NC.
denoty	EPA 6010	0.06		0.005		0.005				0.005	Ü	N1		11		NC	U	NC NC
Sines	EPA 7060	0.005		O.OCS NT		NT.		N	r	N		N)		NC		NC NC		NC
senic (peril) -	WF	NT NT		NT		NT		N1	r	N		N'		NC		0.179		NC
senic (Irl)	WF EPA 6 010	0.269		0.061		0.301		0.303		0.111		N,		36 1		0.005	u	NC
irlum .	EPA 6010	0.005		0.005		0.005				0.005		N'		i				NC
orythum odmium .	EPA 6010	0.003		0.003	U	0.003				0.003 103		N.		42000	-	116		NC
	EPA 6010	171		62.4		144		14		N1		N		NC		NC		NÇ
alchem -	EPA 7195/6010	NT	r	NT		NI		'N 0.00 د				N		1		0.005	U	NC
roman (hex)	EPA 6010	0.005		0.005		0.005					ĭŭ	Ñ		1	1	0.010		NC
remium (listel)	EPA 6010	0.01		0.01		0.01		0.05 0.05			2 U	N		192		0.042		NC
o pper _	EPA 6010	0.033		0.02		0.00		1111				N	T		5 <			NG NG
ead .	EPA 7421	0.002		0.002		49.4			Ō	2		N	T	294		34.5		NC
aunselvm*	EPA 6010	59.6		20.7		0.07		0.07		0.00		N			-	0.025		NC
rudevece . sharens	EPA 6010	0.014		0.005		0.000				. 7.7.7.7		N	T		5 <			
erouty ·	EPA 7470	0.000		0.0009		0.00			2 U		2 Ŭ			0.24				NC NC
ichal -	EPA 60 10		2 U	0.00			. `	3		3.	_	N		56				NC NC
cteedum	EPA 6010		5	3.3 0.000		0.00	•		5 U					11				NC NC
elenium.	EPA 7740	0.00		•	-	12.		12		9.4		N		10				
-boon .	EPA 6010	12.		11.0 0.0		0.0			1 U	7.7.7	i U				1 <			, NC
tver	EPA 6010	0.0		0.00		0.00			15 U) N		-	1 <			NC NC
hallum	EPA 7841	0.00		0.0		0.0			i Ü		i U		IT	30	8	0 00	•	HU
inc	EPA 6 010	0.0	1 U	Q.U		5.0	• `		_									

TABLE 1 BACKGROUND WATER QUALITY DATA AND ESTIMATED EFFLUENT QUALITY COLBERT LANDFILL ROPA PROJECT (Concentrations in upd.-except when indicated otherwise)

	Analytical Method	C021C1	CD47	CD46	CD48-DU	P	CD30A	Little Spoke Rive		Acid Betch Cleaning Solution Concentration	Estimated Efficient Concentration (A)	Estimated Ethers Mans Landing (b) (b)May)
vert		002.00								NC	405	7800
MANCECONVENTIONALS	EÞ 210.1	642	221	554	650		325 0.05 U		107 0.05 U		4 0.053	NC .
ay (mgA.)	EF 350.3	0.07	0.05 U		U 0.05		325	`	104	NC	406	7000
nia (total as M) (mg/L) unate Abalinity(mg/L)	CM 23208	642	221	554		Ü	4 0)	4 U		4 4 0	NG NC
ngA.)	EP 405.1	4 U	4 U 5 U			ŠŨ	5 ()	10	4	4 5 U	3400
mg/L)	EP 410.2	_ 5 U	3.9	270	29		300		340	75220	176 0.1 U	NC
ie (mg/L)	, CP 300.0	7.2 0.1 W	0.1 U		W O.		0.1 L		0.1 U		4 0.1 U	NC
- Decided (COL)	EP 330.4	2 W	2 0			2 W		N	50 J		20 Ŭ	NC
m Feed (CFL/100mL)	'SM 9221C 'EP 110.2	ຂື້ ບັ	20 Ū	20	U 2		20 (20 U		4 0.01 U	NC .
CUI	EP 335.2	0.01 U	0.01 U	,	U 0.0		0.01	,	0.01 L	, O.O.	, NC	NC
to (mg/L)	NA (c)	NT	NT	NT	N		NT		106	42000	. 426	#200
, Total Disastred	2PA 6010	673	241	563	56		344 2.9		0.6	4	3.2	(2
ese (mBrr)	dP 300.0	1.4	5.1	2.8	2.		2.0		1 1	-	4 1 U	NC
o (mgAL)	EP 413.1	ົາ ປ	1 4			0 J	6.20	-	8.25	NC.	5.9	NC
1 Grease (mgA.) in Disselved (mgA.)	EP 360.1	1.6 J	7.3 J	4.00 7.2	J 3.7		7.1	•	8.5	2	7.2	NC NC
41 hadres (mh-1	ZP 150.1	6.7	7.7	0.50	0.5		0.01	J	0.02	. 1	1.1 (0	
norus-Total (mg/L)	EP 365.3	0.24	1.6 5 l	بر. اگ	U	Š U		Ū	5 (. 6		NC 8000
Suspended - Nonfflerable (mg/L)	EP 160.2	_6 U	295	591		7	366		127	184000		440
Charles (Mark)	CP 160.1	677	13	12		2	25		16	` 17		340 NG NG NG NG
• (mall) •	EP 300.0	20 2 U		ນ ີ ຂ	U	2 U	2	U		J 2		MC .
e-Hydregen Sullide (mg/L)	EP 376.1	13.1	11.9	13.9	13	.0	12.1		NT	NC		MC MC
erature (°C) (e)	EP 170.1	0.5 U		u 0.5	U 0	.6 U		U	0.8	0.4		, NC
mg(L)	EP 415.1	0.1 U	0.2	0.6	•	IT	NT		NT	NC	, 140	
my (NTU)	EP 180.1	0.,										·
WOCHLORNE PERTICIDES/ PCB			0.04	u 0.04	11 0	04 U	0.04	U	0.04			NC NC
	EPA BOBO	0.04 U		u 0.04		94 U	0.04	Ū	0.04			, NC
	EPA 8000	0.04 U 0.5 U		Ü 0.5	_).5 U	0.5	Ū	0.5	U NC) MC
dana	EPA 8080	V.U U	•	u 0.04	•	04 Ü	0.04	U	•.•	U NC		, ,,,,
	EPA 8080	0.04 U 0.04 U		u 0.04	•	04 U	0.04	U	•.•.	U N		
Vetebolite (DDE)	EPA 8080	0.04 U		U 0.04		04 Ü	0.04	U	•.•	u N		
(3QT) effectively	EPA 8080	0.04 U	• • • •	Ü 0.04		04 U	0.04	U		U N		
h	EPA 8080	0.04 U		Ü 0.04		04 U	0.04	U	•.•	U N		, ,
suffen	EPA 8080	0.04 U		U 0.04	Ŭ O	04 U	0.04	U	• • •	U N		, MC
1	EPA 8080	0.04 U		U 0.04	ŭ o	.04 U		U	•••	U N		, NC
echlor	EPA 8080	0.04 U		Ú 0.04	. U .0	.04 U	0.04	U	•.•	U N		, AC
chlorocyclohexane (Lindene)	EPA-8080 EPA 8080	0.04 U		Ū 0.04		.04 U	0.04	U		U N		NC NC
chlerocyclohexene-Alpha	EPA 8080	0.1 U	0.1	Ú 0.1		0.1 U	0.1	U	• • •	•	•	NC NC
chlorecyclohexane-Bela	EPA 8080	0.1 U	0.1	U 0.1	_	0.1 U	0.1	U	0.1	_	•	Ŭ NC
sayahtar	EPA 8080	0.2 U	0.2			0.2 U	0.2	U	0.2	_	•	Ŭ NC
•	EPA 8080	0.04 U	0.04	U 0.04	. U 0	.04 U	0.04	U	0.04	U N	Ç	
ANOPHOSPHORUS PESTICIDES		•							0.6	u N	C 0.5	u NC
	EPA 8141	0.5 U	0.5	•		0.5 U				7 8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	u NC
pyriles	EPA 8141	ı Ü	1	•	1 U	1 U		Ų	. 1		•	NC NC
HOR	EPA 8141	0,5 U	0.5	_	5 U	0.5 U			0.5	_		i NC
on Name	EPA 8141	0.5 U		W O.		0.5 U			0.5 0.5		•	u NC
i hlen i hlen-melhyl	EPA 8141	0.5 U	0.5	U O.	5 U	0.5 U	0.5	U	U.3		5.5	_
SICIDES.	•			^	2 U	0.2 U	0.2	U	0.2	U N		
ropheracy Herbicides (2.4,5,-TP)	EPA 8150 EPA 8150	02 U 1 U		•	1 0	1 0		ŭ		Ŭ N		NC NC

Estimated

TABLE 1 BACKGROUND WATER QUALITY DATA AND ESTIMATED EFFLUENT QUALITY COLBERT LANDFILL RD/RA PROJECT (Concentrations in up/L-except when Indicated otherwise)

Acid Batch Estimated Cleaning Little **Pillumi** Spokene River Bolution Concentration Concentration (a) CD30A CD46-DUP **CD46 CD21C1 CO47** 5 U NC SEMINOLATILE ORGANICE NT NT NT NT NT NC 6 U NC 50 u **EPA 8270** NT Aconophono NT NT NT NT NC 50 u 5 U **EPA 8270** NC NT Benzidino NT NT NT NT *********** 5 u **FPA 8270** NC NT Chiertrated Benzenes (1) NT NT NT 5 U NT **EPA 8270** Chlorenated Naphalanas (a) NC NT NT NT NT NT EPA 8270 5 U Chloroethyl Ether (ble-2) NC NT NT NT NT NT 5 U **EPA 8270** NC 5 U Chlorolappropyl Biher (ble-2) NT NT NT NT NT 6 U EPA 8270 u NC Chloromethyl Ether (ble) NT NT NT NT NT 6 U **EPA 8270** 6 U NC Chlorophenal 2 NT NT NT NT NT 6 5 U u **EPA 6270** NC Chiero-4 Methyl-3 Phono NT NT NT NT 5 U NT 5 u **EPA 8270** NC **Doubyl Phthalate** NT NT NT NT NT 6 U 20 U **EPA 8270** NT NC Otchiorobenzense (h) NT NT NT NT 20 U 5 U Dichlorobenzidine 3,3 **EPA 6270** NT NC NT NT NT NC NT U 5 5 U **EPA 8270** NC Dichlorophenal 2,4 NT NT NT NT NC NT 5 U Disthytphthelate Olmathyl Phonol 2,4 EPA 8270 NC 5 U NT NT NT NT NC 5 U NT 5 U EPA 8270 NC NT NT NT NT NC NT 5 U 5 U **EPA 8270** NC **Dimetryl Phthelete** NT NT NT NT NT NC 5 U 20 U **EPA 8270** NC Dintrotoluene 2.4 NT NT NT NT NT NC 20 U 20 U **EPA 8270** NC Dintro-o-greeni 2.4 NT NT NT NT NC 20 U NT 6 U **EPA 8270** NC Diphenythydrazine 1,2 NT NT NT NT NT NC EPA 8270 5 U NC 5 U Ol-2-Ethyl Henyl Phthal NT NT NT NT NT NC 5 U EPA 8270 5 NC u Fluoranthene NT NT NT NT NT NÇ 5 U **EPA 8270** 6 u NC Hexachiorobenzene NT NT NT NT NT NC 5 U **EPA 8270** NC 10 U Hexachierobuladiene NT NT NT NT NC NC NT 10 U **EPA 8270** NC 5 U Hexachierecycleparti NT NT NT NT NT 5 U **EPA 8270** NC 5 U Herechleroethene NT NT NT NT NC NT 5 U **EPA 8270** 5 U NC NT leophorene NT NT NGNC NT NT 5 U **EPA 8270** 5 U NT NC NT NT NT NT 5 u **EPA 8270** 50 U NT NC NT NT 50 U NT NT NC **EPA 8270** 10 U Hisrophenois (i) NT NC NT NT NT NT 10 U NC **EPA 8270** 10 U NC NT NT NT NT NT NC 10 U **EPA 8270** 6 U NC NT NT NT NT NT 5 U NC **EPA 8270** 5 U NC NT NT NT NT NT NC 5 u EPA 8270 10 U NC NT NT NT NT 10 U NT NC **EPA 8270** Nitrosopymolidine N 10 u NC NT NT NT 10 U NT NT NC **EPA 8270** Pentachiorobenzone NC 30 U NT NT NT NT 30 U NT **EPA 6270** NC 6 U NC **Pentachiorophenoi** NT NT NT NT NT 5 U **EPA 8270** NC 5 U NC NT **Phonol** NT NT NT NT 5 U NC **EPA 8270** 6 u NC Phihalale Esters (i) NT NT NT NT 5 U NT NC **EPA 6270** Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (k) 5 u NT NC NT NT NT NT 5 U NÇ **EPA 8270** Tetrachiorobensone 1,2,4,5 NC 5 U NT NT NT NT NT 6 U NC Trichtorophenol 2,4,5 **EPA 8270** NC 5 U NT NT NT NT NT 5 U **EPA 8270** Trichterophenol 2,4,6 **YOLATILE ORGANICS (I)** 180 (m) 3.6 NT NC NT NT NT NT NT **EPA 8010** 0.13 7.0 1,1-Dichleroethene (m) NC NT NT NT NT NT NT **EPA 8010** 25 0.48 1.1-Dichloroethylene NC (m) NT NT NT NT NT NT **EPA 8010** 0.13 Methylene chloride NC 7.0 (m) NT NT NT NT NT **EPA 8010** NT 200 3.8 Tetrachloroethyleni NC (m) NT NT NT NT NT **EPA 8010** NT 0.1 Trichtoroethene 1.1.1 NC 6 (m) NT NT NT NT NT NT **EPA 8010**

TABLE 1 BACKGROUND WATER QUALITY DATA AND ESTIMATED EFFLUENT QUALITY COLBERT LANDFILL ROMA PROJECT

(Consentrations in ug/L-except when indicated otherwise)

		(Consentration	is in ugAaxcept t	NUÓU INCIDENCES	Q		Little Spokene River	Estimated Acid Batch Cleaning Solution	Estimated Effluent Concentration (a)	Extinated Efficant Mass Loading (b) (b/day)
1ueri	Analytical Method	CD21C1	CD47	CD46	CD46-DUP	CD30A	Pilver	Concentration	Constitution	
TLANEOUS	EPA 8240 EPA 8240	10 U 100 U	10 U 100 U	10 U 100 U	10 U 100 U	10 U 100 U	10 L 100 L		10 U 100 U	NC NC

:W-646 Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, 1986 with 1987 revisions.

1010 a Industively Coupled Pleama Atomic Emission Spectroscopy

1106 - Chromhum, Henevelers (Coprecipitation)

1010 = Helogonated Volatile Organice. 1030 = Asrotein, Acrytonitrie, Acetonitrie.

1080 a Organishlarine Pasticides and PCBs.

8141 a Organophosporus Pecifoldes. 8150 a Chlorinated Herbicides.

8240 - GCAMS for Volatile Organica

6270 = GCAtS for Semivatelle Organica 6290 = Otherse-p-dissips and furare.

u010 = Cyanida Water Fickin, U.S.G.S. "Separation of As(III) and As(V) in Groundwater".

Methods of Chamical Analysis of Water and Wastes, EPA 1983.

Sundard Methods.

eristings and Date Confidentions:

Degrees Contigrade.

· Not colouteted

Not tested.

- Nephelometric turbidity units

indutected at the detection limit given. he analyte was analyzed and positively identified, but the associated numerical

value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample. The analyte was arrived for and was not precent above the associated value. The associated value may not accurately or precisely represent the

rangerstration necessary to detect the enable in this sample.

The constituent was less than the resocked calculated value. The associated value may not accurately or precisely represent the

concentration necessary to detect the analyte in this sample.

This is a calculated value based on the estimated contribution of groundwater to the Phase II system from the vicinity of the sampled wells, and

discharge of the batch cleaning solution. The concentration estimate is based on a total extraction rate of 1,600 gpm, with contributions of 16%, 33%, 26%, and 26% for Wells CD-21C1, CD-30A, CD-46C2, and CD-47C2, respectively, and a 0.1 gpm discharge rate of the batch cleaning solution.

Based on althurst discharge rate of 1,600 gpm at the estimated affluent concentration.

Not listed in any available method references.

includes 0.54 mg/l contribution from phosphate sequestering agent.

Values are based on field results.

The sum of 1,2-, 1,3-,1,4-dichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, and hexachlorobenzene

Value is for 2-chioronachthalane only.

The sum of 1,2, 1,3, and 1,4-dichlorobenzene

The eum 2- and 4-represent and 2,4-dinkraphenol. The sum of dimetry/phthelate, distry/phthelate, di-n-buty/phthelate, buty/benz/phthelate, bis/2-ethy/henyi)phthelate and di-n-octy/phthelate.

The eurn of carcinogenic PAH; benze(a)enthrecens, benze(a)pyrens, benze(b)therenthens, benze(k)therenthens,

chrysene, dibens(a,hjerthrecene, and indens(1,2,3-od)pyrene

the expension were not tested for, with the concurrence of Ecology, because of the adequacy of existing data.

Elitural discharge standards (Evaluation Criteria) from Project Consent Decree, except for 1,1-DCA (which is highest measured concentration).

TABLE 2
POTENTIAL NPDES WATER QUALITY CRITERIA
COLBERT LANDFILL ROWA PROJECT
(Concentrations in ug/L)

		1	Federal V	State WAC						
	POL (b)	Aquelic Fresh Chronic		Water and Fish Ingestion (c)		Fish Consumption Only	1	Orinking Water MCL	173-201 · Fresh Chronic (d)	
Constituent	, 42 (4)									
METALS	60.0	1600.0	(e)	148.0		45000.0				
Antimony	10.0	1000.0	1-,	0.0	(1)	0.0	(I)	50.0		
Areenic	10.0	48.0	(0)		• •					
Amenic (pent)	•	190.0	(-)							
Amenic (tri)		150.0		1,000				1,000		
Berlum	200.0	5.3	(⊕)	0.0	m	0.1	(1)	•		
Bendium	5.0	5,3 1.1		10.0	1.7		• •	100		(A.Q)
Cadmium	5.0		(0)	50.0				50.0	11.0	(n)
Chromium (hex)	10.0	11.0				3.4E+06		50.0	210.0	(4.0)
Chromium (tri)	10.0	210.0		1.7E+05		3,75,700		33.3	12.0	(d,g)
Copper	25.0		(0)						12.0	100.7
	100.0	1000.0		300.0				50.0	12	(4,0)
tron	3.0	3.2	(9)	50.0				50.0	7.4	(A)
Load	15.0			50.0		100.0			0.0	(h)
Mengeness	0.2	0.0		0.1		0.1		2.0		
Mercury	40.0	160.0	(a)	13.4		100.0			160.0	
Michal	5.0	35.0		10.0				10.0	35.0	(u)
Selenium	10.0	0.1		50.0				50.0	•	
Gilver		40.0	(4)	13.0		48.0				
Thellum	10.0	110.0							110.0	(4.0)
Zinc	20.0	110.0	(8)							
NORGANICS/CONVENTIONALS										
	1.0	20,000	(4)						4000.0	(h1h)
Alkalinity	0.0	1806.0	(h,j,k)							
Ammonia (total as N)	0.1	11.0							11.0	
Chlorine (realdual)	N/A							<1/100mlg	<100/100ml	
Collarm Feat			///							
Color	1.0	-11		200.0					5.2	(h)
Cvenide	10.0			200.0	•				110% enturation	
Gasses, Total Dissolved	NA	110% saturation	(IL/m)	10.000				10,000		• • •
Nitrales	NA				,			10,555		
Ot and Greece	5.0			-					8000.0	// 61
Oxygen Dissolved	0.1	0.0008	(m,l) (6.5-8.5	
	N/A		(0)						a.a-a.a	(4)
pH .	NA		(p)							
Solida Suspended	N/A		₩,	2.5E+0	5					
Solide Dissolved	0.1		`							
Sullide-Hydrogen Sullide		.77	(p,q)						18 C	(n)
Temperature (°C)	NA	, 10.1	, tarieti							
TOC									6 NTU over BKG	(n)
Turbidity (NTU)	0.5									• •

State WAC

TABLE 2
POTENTIAL NPDES WATER QUALITY CRITERIA
COLBERT LANDFILL RDIRA PROJECT
(Concentrations in ugA.)

			Fe	ideral W	later Quality Crit	eria (i)		Drinking	173-201	•
			Aquetic Fresh	-	Water and Fish		Fish Consumption Only		Water MCL	Fresh Chronic (d)	
Constituers	POL (b)		Chronic		Ingestion (c)		City				
MOMOCHLORINE PESTICIDES/PCBs			3.0 ((k)	7.4E-05	(1)	7.9E-06	(1)			
North	0.1		100.0				4.05.04			0.0	(0.4)
NHC	0.1		0.0		4.6E-04		4.6E-04 2.4E-06	m			
hiardene	0.1 0.1		0.0		2.4E-06	(1)	2.45-09	(*)			
OT	0.1 0.1		1050.0	(o,k)							
OT Manabalto (DOE)	N/A		0.1	(A,e)		***	7.6E-04	m		•	
OT Metabolie (TDE)	0.02, 0.1		0.0		7.0E-06	(1)	150.0			0.1	
Dieldrin	0.14, 0.04; 0.1		0.1		74.0		100.0		0.2	0.0	
Endocullan	0.06, 0.1		0.0		1.0	110	2.9E-04	m		0.0	
Endrin	0.00, 0.1		0.0		2.6E-04		0.1		4.0	0.1	(1)
Heptachior	0.1		0.1		0.0 0.0			(1)			
Hexachierocyclohexane (Lindene)	0.1							(1)			
	0.1				0.0		•.•	***	100.0		
Hexachlorocyclohexane-Bela	0.5		0.0		100.0		7.9E-05			0.0	(1)
Methonychior	1.0		0.0		7.9E-05	(1)	7,42,40				
PCBs	10.0		0.0				7.3E-04	m	5.0	0.0	(p)
Mean	5.0		0.0		7.1E-04	(1)	7.56-0-1				
Toxaphone	3.0										
ORGANOPHOSPHORUS PESTICIDES			0.0							0.0	(p)
Chlerpyritos	0.7		0.1								
Demeton	1.2		0.0	•							
Quahion	NA		0.1							0.0	(h) C
Makehion	50.0		0.0							•	
Parathian	10.0		0.0								
HERBICIDES	1.7				10.						
Chlorophenoxy Herbicides (2,4,5,-TP) Chlorophenoxy Herbicides (2,4,-D)	12.0				100.	0					
SEMINOLATILE ORGANICS											
	10.0		520.0		1.2E-0	M IN	5.3E-0	M (I)			
Acenephene	NA		2,600		1.25~	~ (")	2.02	•			
Benzidine Chlorinated Benzenes				(e)							
Chlorinated Naphalenes	N/A		1000.0	(e,k)	٥	.0 (1)	. 1	.4 (1)			
Chlorosthyl Ether (bis-2)	10.0				34		4360	.0			
Chlorateopropyl Ether (bis-2)	10.0				0.003		0	O (1)			
Chloremethyl Ether (bis)	NA				4.00	(1)	, -	,,			
Cimponiani Cara (not	10.0			(0)							
Chlorephenel 2	10.0)	30.0	(4,e) C							

TABLE 2
POTENTIAL NPDES WATER QUALITY CRITERIA
COLBERT LANDFILL RD/RA PROJECT
(Concentrations in ug/L)

			F		State WAC 173-201 '						
		_	Aquelic		Water		Fish		Drinking	1/3-201 Freeh	
			Freeh		and Fish		Consumption		Weter MCL	Chronic (d)	
	POL (b)		Chronic		ingestion (c)		Only		MICL	Cincin (e)	
ensiliueni											
EMPOLATILE OF GANGE (continued)					35,000		1.5E+05				
Butyl Phihalate	10.0		763.0	/ a\	400.0		2000.0				
ichlarabenzenes	10.0		763.0	(4)	0.0	m	0.0	(f)			
Dichlorobenzidine	20.0		365.0	(0)	3,090	.,		• •			
Dichlorophenol 2,4	. 10.0		365.0	(-)	3.5E+05		1.8E+06				
Diethy/phthelele	10.0		A	4- 41	3,52700		******				
Dimethyl Phenol 2,4	10.0		2120.0	(4,4)	3.1E+05		2.9E+06				
Dimetry: Phthalate	10.0				3.1E+03 0.1	m	9.1	(1)			
Dinkrateluene 2,4	10.0				13.4	17	765.0	4.5			
Diritiro-o-cresci 2,4	5 0.0			<i>(</i> L)	73.4		. 30.0				
Diphenylhydrazine 1,2	NA		270.0	(k)	15,000		50000.0				
Di-2-Estyl Hexyl Phtheiste	10.0			4- 44	42.0		54.0				
Phoredisco	10.0		3980.0	(A,O)	7.2E-04	/f\	7.4E-04	m			
Hexachiorobenzene	10.0		3.7		0.5		50.0	• •			
Herechlorobyladiene	10.0			(•)		(1)	30.0	177			
Hexachiprocyclopentadiene	10.0			(0)	206.0		8.7				
Hexachiorosthane	10.0		540.0		1.9		5.2E+05				
	10.0		117000.0		5,200		5.4€+03				
inophorone	10.0		620.0								
Naphthalene	10.0		17000.0		19,800						
Nikobenzene	50.0		150.0	(•)				481			
Mirophanols	10.0				0.0			(1)			
Ntropodibulylamine N	20.0				8.0E-04			(1)			
Nitroecdisthylamine N	100.0				0.0014	(1)	16.0				
Nitrosodimethylemine N	100.0				4.9	(1)	16.1				
Nitrosodiphenylemine N	10.0 40.0				0.0	(1)	91.9				
Nitrosopywolidine N					74.0	••	85.0	1			
Pentachlorobenzene	10.0		13.0		1,010				1000.0		
Pentachiorophenol	50.0		2,560		3,500						
Phonoi	10.0			(0)	9,000						
Phihalate Esters	NA		3.0	, (=)	0.0	(1)	0.0	(1)			
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons	NA				38.0		48.0				
Tetrachlorobenzene 1,2,4,5	10.0				2.600			-			
Trichlorophenol 2,4,5	50.0		456	101		(1)	3.6	3 (1)			
Trichlorophenol 2,4,6	10.0		970.0	(•)	1.2	(1)	5.0	- 1.7			
YOLATILE ORGANICS			11600.0	n /a k\	0.0	(1)	1.0	(1)			
Dichloroethylenes	1.3					ä		m			
Tetrachiorosthylene	3.0		54 0.0	0 (•)	18,400	• • •	1.03E+0		200.0	(v)	
Trichloroethene 1,1,1	0.3			A 1=1		, (1)		7 (1)		(v)	
Trichloroethytene	1.2		21900.0	U (0)	2.7	(4)	. ••.	,	0.0	• •	

TABLE 2

POTENTIAL NPDES WATER CLIALITY CRITERIA COLBERT LANDFILL RD/RA PROJECT (Concentrations in up.L.)

		State WAC				
Constituent	POL (b)	Aquelic Fresh Chronic	Water and Fish Ingestion (c)	Fish Consumption Only	Drinking Water MCL	173-201 Fresh Chrenis (d)
MECELLANEOUS						
Agrolein Agrylantirila	7.0 6.0	21.0 (e) 2600.0 (e)		7 6 0.0 0.7 (η	ı	

N/A Not evallable.
*C = Degrees Centigrade.
MCL = meximum conterninent level

MCL = Malamum contaminant inve

NTU - National turbidity units.

- (a) Quality Criteria for Water 1986 (EPA 440/5-86-001).
- (b) POL based on the analytical method identified in Table 1.
- (C) Values presented in this column are human health-based only.
- (d) Freshwater chronic criteria from WAC 173-201-047, except where noted otherwise
- (e) Insufficient data to develop criteria. Value presented is the LOEL lowest observed effect level.
- (1) Human health criteria for carolnogene reported for three risk levels. Value presented is the 10-6 risk level.
- (g) Hardness dependent orticia (100 mg/L used)
- (h) A 4-day average concentration not to be exceeded more than once every three years on the average.
- (i) The value represents a minimum concentration.
- (i) Concentration based on pH = 6.5, temperature = 10°C, and selmonids present.
- (N) Value presented is based on fresh soute criteria in absence of fresh chronic values.
- (i) Criteria based on most stringent maximum value for sources of industrial water supply.
- (m) State oritoria based on interpretation of federal oritoria.
- (n) Criteria based on WAC 173-201-045 for general use ,Class A river.
- (c) The values represent an acceptable range.
- (b) Buspended solide should not reduce depth of photosynthetic compensation point by more than 10% from seasonal norm.
- (d) A 1-how everage concentration not to be exceeded more than once every three years on the average.
- (f) A 24-hour average not to be exceeded.
- (a) See individual analytes for POL and analytical method.
- (1) pH dependent orberts (7.8 pH used)
- (u) Effective August 8, 1987 FR Val. 42, No. 130.

COLBERT LANDFILL

TREATED GROUND WATER DISCHARGE SCHEDULE

MARCH 3, 1994

Public meeting

MARCH 18, 1994 APRIL 6, 1954 Short-term discharge monitoring

requirements finalized

MARCH 22, 1994

APRIL 11, 1994

Scheduled start for the treatment system and discharge using the initial

short-term requirements

JUNE 20, 1994

Revise (if necessary) initial discharge

requirements

Start of public comment period on

discharge limits

JULY 20, 1994

End of public comment period

AUGUST 19, 1994

Substantive requirements in effect for

long-term discharge